```
1
 2
 3
 4
 5
6
7
                       UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8
9
                      CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10
11
   M. AILEEN MORNINGSTAR and
                                    Case No. CV 11-00655 DDP (VBKx)
   ALICE SLETTEDAHL,
   Derivatively on Behalf of
   Nominal Defendant RINO
13
   INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION,
                                    ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION
                                    FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES AND
14
                  Plaintiff,
                                     REIMBURSEMENT OF COSTS
15
        v.
16
   ZOU DEJUN, KENNITH C.
   JOHNSON, QUAN XIE, BEN WANG,
17
   LI YU, BRUCE RICHARDSON, YI
                                    [Dkt. No. 80]
   LIU, ZHANG WEIGUO and RINO
18
   INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION,
19
                  Defendants.
20
        Presently before the court is Plaintiffs' Motion for
21
   Attorneys' Fees and Reimbursement of Costs. Plaintiffs here bring
   a derivative action on behalf of nominal defendant RINO
23
   International Corporation. This court also presides over a related
   class action (the "shareholder class action" or "Stream Sicav"), in
26
   which shareholder plaintiffs asserted securities fraud claims
27
28
```

against RINO and other defendants. See Stream Sicav v. RINO Int'l Corp., No. CV 10-8695-DDP.

The court recently granted final approval of a settlement in the shareholder class action, including the creation and distribution of a \$7 million common settlement fund. (Stream Sicav Dkt. No. 235.) Derivative Plaintiffs here were not parties to the shareholder class action, nor did Derivative Plaintiffs' counsel ("Counsel") represent any party to the shareholder class action. Nevertheless, Counsel sought attorneys' fees in the related shareholder class action, without success. (See Order Denying Derivative Plaintiffs' Ex Parte Application to Intervene, Stream Sicav Dkt. No. 232.)

Having failed to obtain the fees sought in the <u>Stream Sicav</u> case, Counsel now seeks the exact same relief, namely the payment of attorneys' fees and costs from the <u>Stream Sicav</u> settlement fund, in this case. The <u>Stream Sicav</u> settlement, however, has no bearing on this litigation, which remains in its early stages. To the extent Counsel seeks fees from the <u>Stream Sicav</u> settlement, Plaintiffs' motion is DENIED with prejudice.

In the alternative, Counsel seeks an award of fees from other sources, including proceeds of the sales of homes owned by certain individual defendants in this case. However, given that this case remains in its early stages, and in light of notice issues relating to certain individual defendants here, Plaintiff's fee motion is premature. Accordingly, insofar as Counsel seeks fees in this case

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> That related case is ongoing, but only against auditor defendants.

## 

1	from sources other than the <u>Stream Sicav</u> settlement, the Motion is
2	DENIED, without prejudice.
3	
4	
5	
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.
7	
8	16 Afriverson
9	Dated: February 8, 2013  DEAN D. PREGERSON
10	United States District Judge
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27 28	
۷४	